

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOHN DOE #1 (a fictitious name) and	:	
JANE DOE #1 (a fictitious name)	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	:	
	:	
v.	:	NO. 21-1408
	:	
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT	:	
OF HUMAN SERVICES, <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	
	:	

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021, upon consideration of the Motion to Dismiss filed on behalf of Defendant the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center, and any response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED, and Plaintiffs' claims against the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center are hereby DISMISSED, with prejudice.

---

J.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOHN DOE #1 (a fictitious name) and	:	
JANE DOE #1 (a fictitious name)	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	:	
	:	
v.	:	NO. 21-1408
	:	
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT	:	
OF HUMAN SERVICES, <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	
	:	

**DEFENDANT DELAWARE COUNTY JUVENILE DETENTION CENTER'S  
MOTION TO DISMISS**

**NOW COMES THE DEFENDANT**, the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center (hereinafter the "Detention Center"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and submits this Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint, averring in support thereof as follows:

1. Plaintiffs, proceeding anonymously as John Doe #1 and Jane Doe #1, are former residents of the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center. They allege that "[f]or decades at [the Detention Center], a culture of abuse not only festered, but was perpetrated by staff and perpetuated by those at every level through repeated cover ups." (Complaint, ¶4).

2. While John Doe #1 and Jane Doe #1 were residents at the Detention Center, they allege they were subjected to various forms of physical, mental, and sexual abuse and neglect at the hands of Detention Center staff.

3. As a result of the injuries they allegedly sustained, Plaintiffs have levied multiple claims against the Detention Center, including state law claims for vicarious liability, negligence, negligent infliction of emotional distress, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent failure to rescue, negligent failure to warn, civil conspiracy, and negligence *per se*, along with

federal claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violation of their Constitutional rights and claims under the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (TVPRA), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1591 and 1595.

4. The Complaint states that the Detention Center is "owned, controlled, and operated by the County of Delaware, Pennsylvania," but is nevertheless "governed by the Board of Judges, under the Direction of President Judge Kevin F. Kelly." (Complaint, ¶¶ 13-14). The Detention Center is a Court facility, part of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District.

5. The 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District is part of the Unified Judicial System of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

6. As set forth more fully in the accompanying Brief, the Eleventh Amendment bars Plaintiffs' claims against the Detention Center.

7. Further, the Detention Center is not a "person" subject to liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

8. Sovereign immunity bars Plaintiffs' state law claims against the Detention Center.

9. Given the nature of the aforementioned defenses, leave to amend would be futile.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center, respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order in the form attached hereto, dismissing Plaintiffs' claims against it, with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Megan L. Davis

MEGAN L. DAVIS, ESQUIRE  
Attorney I.D. PA 321341  
GERI ROMANELLO ST. JOSEPH  
Attorney I.D. PA 84902  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Administrative Office of PA Courts  
1515 Market Street, Suite 1414  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
[legaldepartment@pacourts.us](mailto:legaldepartment@pacourts.us)  
(215) 560-6326, Fax: (215) 560-5486

*Counsel for Defendant, Delaware County Juvenile  
Detention Center*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOHN DOE #1 (a fictitious name) and	:	
JANE DOE #1 (a fictitious name)	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	:	
	:	
v.	:	NO. 21-1408
	:	
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT	:	
OF HUMAN SERVICES, <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	
	:	

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THE DELAWARE COUNTY JUVENILE DETENTION  
CENTER'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

**NOW COMES THE DEFENDANT**, the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center (hereinafter the “Detention Center”), by and through its undersigned counsel, and submits this Brief in Support of its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint, averring in support thereof as follows:

**I) FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

Plaintiffs, proceeding anonymously as John Doe #1 and Jane Doe #1, are former residents of the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center. They allege that “[f]or decades at [the Detention Center], a culture of abuse not only festered, but was perpetrated by staff and perpetuated by those at every level through repeated cover ups.” (Complaint, ¶ 4). While John Doe #1 and Jane Doe #1 were residents at the Detention Center, they allege they were subjected to various forms of physical, mental, and sexual abuse and neglect at the hands of Detention Center staff. (Complaint, ¶¶ 110 - 144). As a result of the injuries they allegedly sustained, Plaintiffs have levied multiple claims against the Detention Center, including state law claims for

vicarious liability, negligence, negligent infliction of emotional distress, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent failure to rescue, negligent failure to warn, civil conspiracy, and negligence *per se*, along with federal claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violation of their Constitutional rights and claims under the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (TVPRA), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1591 and 1595.

As it pertains to their claims against the Detention Center, the Complaint states that the Detention Center is "owned, controlled, and operated by the County of Delaware, Pennsylvania," but is nevertheless "governed by the Board of Judges, under the Direction of President Judge Kevin F. Kelly." (Complaint, ¶¶ 13-14). The Detention Center is a Court facility, part of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District. *See id.; see also* Complaint at ¶ 22 (noting that the President Judge of the Delaware County Court of Common Pleas was responsible for the closure of the Detention Center).

For the reasons set forth below, Plaintiffs' claims against the Detention Center must be dismissed.

## **II) QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

(1) Does the Eleventh Amendment bar Plaintiffs' claims against the Detention Center?

**Suggested Answer: Yes.**

(2) Are Plaintiffs' claims barred because the Detention Center is not a "person" subject to liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983?

**Suggested Answer: Yes.**

(3) Does sovereign immunity bar Plaintiffs' claims against the Detention Center?

**Suggested Answer: Yes.**

### III) ARGUMENT

#### A) The Eleventh Amendment Bars Plaintiffs' Claims Against the Detention Center

As set forth above, the Detention Center is part of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District. (Complaint, ¶¶ 14, 22). States and state entities are entitled to immunity under the Eleventh Amendment unless they waive that immunity, which Pennsylvania has not. *See Laskaris v. Thornburgh*, 661 F.2d 23, 25 (3d Cir. 1981). 1 Pa.C.S. § 2310 provides that "the Commonwealth and its officials and employees acting within the scope of their duties, shall continue to enjoy sovereign and official immunity and remain immune from suit except as the General Assembly shall specifically waive this immunity." *See also* 42 Pa.C.S. § 8521. The term "Commonwealth government" includes "the courts and other officers or agencies of the unified judicial system." 42 Pa.C.S. § 102.

The Eleventh Amendment is a jurisdictional bar that deprives a federal court of subject matter jurisdiction. *Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp.*, 77 F.3d 690, 694 n.2 (3d Cir. 1996). Claims brought under the civil rights enabling statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, are subject to the Eleventh Amendment. *See Chilcott v. Erie Co. Domestic Relations*, 283 Fed. Appx. 8, 10 (3d Cir. 2008); *Collins v. Sload*, 212 Fed. Appx. 136, 140 n.5 (3d Cir. 2007). Further, Eleventh Amendment immunity has not been abrogated under the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (TVPRA). *See Mojsilovic v. Oklahoma ex rel. Bd. of Regents for Univ. of Oklahoma*, 841 F.3d 1129, 1133–34 (10th Cir. 2016) (finding the TVPRA was enacted under Congress's Commerce Clause power and as such Eleventh Amendment immunity cannot be abrogated).

The 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District is an arm of the state. *Callahan v. City of Philadelphia, et al.*, 207 F.3d 668, 672 (3d Cir. 2000) (holding that all courts and agencies of the Unified Judicial

System are part of the Commonwealth government and are state agencies); *Benn v. First Judicial District*, 426 F.3d 233 (3d Cir. 2005) (holding that Pennsylvania's Court entities are Commonwealth entities entitled to federal Eleventh Amendment immunity). Since the Detention Center is a part of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District, and since Pennsylvania has not consented to suit, the Detention Center is entitled to Eleventh Amendment immunity from suit in this matter.

**B) The Detention Center is not a "Person" Subject to Liability Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983**

As set forth above, Plaintiffs' claims against the Detention Center arise under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Section 1983 does not itself create substantive rights, but instead provides a vehicle for vindicating violations of federal rights. *Groman v. Township of Manalapan*, 47 F.3d 628, 633 (3d Cir. 1995). A plaintiff bringing a cause of action under Section 1983 must demonstrate that a *person* acting under color of law deprived him or her of a federal right. *Groman*, 47 F.3d at 633.

As discussed above, the Detention Center is an arm of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District, which is part of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania, and, as such, is a state entity. State entities are not "persons" under § 1983 and cannot be sued under that statute. *See Callahan*, 207 F.3d 668 (Warrant Division and Eviction Unit of the Court of Common Pleas and the Municipal Court Eviction Unit of the First Judicial District are state government entities which do not constitute "persons" under 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Accordingly, Plaintiff's claims against the Detention Center must be dismissed because it is not a "person" within the meaning of Section 1983.

**C) Sovereign Immunity Bars Plaintiffs' Claims Against the Detention Center**

In addition to Eleventh Amendment immunity, under Pennsylvania law, entities, officers and employees of the Commonwealth government are entitled to sovereign and official immunity from lawsuits, such as the one before this Court, pursuant to the Sovereign Immunity Act, 42 Pa. C.S. § 8521 *et seq.*; *see also* 1 Pa. C.S. § 2310 ("Pursuant to section 11 of Article I of the Constitution of Pennsylvania, it is hereby declared to be the intent of the General Assembly that the Commonwealth, and its officials and employees acting within the scope of their duties, shall continue to enjoy sovereign immunity and official immunity and remain immune from suit except as the General Assembly shall specifically waive the immunity").

The Commonwealth has not validly waived sovereign immunity for any of Plaintiffs' claims. In this regard, although the state legislature has waived sovereign immunity in a limited number of circumstances,<sup>1</sup> this statutory waiver does not apply to the Unified Judicial System. *Russo v. Allegheny County*, 125 A.3d 113, 118 (Pa. Commw. 2015) ("Because sovereign immunity has not been waived with respect to the courts of the unified judicial system, we must conclude that the courts of the unified judicial system retain their sovereign immunity as related to tort claims."), *aff'd*, 150 A.3d 16 (Pa. 2016). As a result, the Courts of the Unified Judicial System, including the 32<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District, retain sovereign immunity without exception. *Id.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Even if this waiver did apply to the Unified Judicial System, this case still would not fall under any of the enumerated circumstances in which immunity has been waived. In this regard, 42 Pa.C.S. § 8522 sets forth the nine (9) circumstances under which the Commonwealth has waived immunity: (1) vehicle liability; (2) medical-professional liability; (3) care, custody, or control of personal property; (4) Commonwealth real estate, highways and sidewalks; (5) potholes and other dangerous conditions; (6) care, custody, and control of animals; (7) liquor store sales; (8) National Guard activities; and (9) toxoids and vaccines. Since none of these circumstance are applicable to the instant case, immunity would nevertheless apply.

Therefore, the Detention Center, as an arm of the Court, is entitled to immunity from Plaintiffs' claims.

**IV) CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing arguments and authorities, Defendant, the Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center, respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order in the form attached hereto, dismissing Plaintiff's claims against it, with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Megan L. Davis

MEGAN L. DAVIS, ESQUIRE  
Attorney I.D. PA 321341  
GERI ROMANELLO ST. JOSEPH  
Attorney I.D. PA 84902  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania  
Administrative Office of PA Courts  
1515 Market Street, Suite 1414  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
[legaldepartment@pacourts.us](mailto:legaldepartment@pacourts.us)  
(215) 560-6326, Fax: (215) 560-5486

*Counsel for Defendant, Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOHN DOE #1 (a fictitious name) and	:	
JANE DOE #1 (a fictitious name)	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	:	
	:	
v.	:	NO. 21-1408
	:	
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT	:	
OF HUMAN SERVICES, <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	
	:	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that on September 13, 2021, she caused to be served upon the following a copy of the foregoing *Proposed Order, Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support of the Motion* via CM/ECF to all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

/S/Megan L. Davis  
MEGAN L. DAVIS, ESQUIRE  
Attorney I.D. PA 321341  
GERI ROMANELLO ST. JOSEPH  
Attorney I.D. PA 84902  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania  
Administrative Office of PA Courts  
1515 Market Street, Suite 1414  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
legaldepartment@pacourts.us  
(215) 560-6326, Fax: (215) 560-5486

*Counsel for Defendant, Delaware County Juvenile Detention Center*